

Paper from the Conference “Current Issues in European Cultural Studies”, organised by the Advanced Cultural Studies Institute of Sweden (ACSIS) in Norrköping 15–17 June 2011. Conference Proceedings published by Linköping University Electronic Press: [http://www.ep.liu.se/ecp\\_home/index.en.aspx?issue=062](http://www.ep.liu.se/ecp_home/index.en.aspx?issue=062). © The Author.

## **Current Issues in European Cultural Studies: Introduction to the Proceedings of the 2011 ACSIS Conference in Norrköping, 15–17 June 2011**

Johan Fornäs  
Södertörns högskola  
[johan.fornas@sh.se](mailto:johan.fornas@sh.se)

This is an introduction to the proceedings of ‘Current Issues in European Cultural Studies: ACSIS Conference 2011’, held in Norrköping, 15–17 June 2011. The conference was organised by the Advanced Cultural Studies Institute of Sweden (ACSIS), whose director Johan Fornäs here presents a background to the event. The text is based on his plenary opening and closing of the conference, and at the same time serves to introduce these conference proceedings.

## CURRENT ISSUES IN EUROPEAN CULTURAL STUDIES

‘Current Issues in European Cultural Studies’ was the title of an international conference organised by the Advanced Cultural Studies Institute of Sweden (ACSIS) in Norrköping 15-17 June 2011. This gathering event for all kinds of contemporary cultural studies in Europe today defined cultural studies as widely as the Association for Cultural Studies (ACS) and its Crossroads conferences, encompassing not only the dominant Anglo-American branches of this transnational field but also all other thriving currents of critical and interdisciplinary cultural research that have since the 1980s transformed cultural studies from a particular school of thought to a polycentric, glocal and open-ended intellectual field.

The conference focus was on the greater European area, in turn comprising a large number of local, national and regional subcurrents. All such geographical divisions and boundaries are always necessarily contestable, and one may well argue against how we have delimited the general scope of the conference as well as the regional areas covered by each of the five spotlight sessions. We all have shifting ways of grouping and organising our field, and it is instructive to also have a look at official definitions. For instance, the Council of Europe includes 47 member states, whereas the European Union only has 27 members. Colonial links also connects tiny areas in Africa and the Americas to the Eurozone, making borders even fuzzier.

Also, the way Europe is subdivided into regions differs greatly. For instance, United Nations statistics subdivide Europe into four regions where Britain belongs to the North and Germany and France to the West, while those who acknowledge Central Europe as a fifth region usually include both Germany, Poland and Hungary there.

We instead heuristically chose to link the Netherlands to the German-speaking countries in the Central Europe spotlight session, restricted North Europe to the Nordic countries and West Europe to Britain, and kept the border between South (Mediterranean) and East (“New”) Europe deliberately open. There is no “correct” version, and we could just hope that the constellations we constructed would at least make it possible to in each session highlight certain patterns, and in the final plenary jointly discuss both commonalities and mutual differences between cultural studies branches in various parts of Europe. This hope was confirmed, as the spotlight sessions turned out to offer a fascinating overview over key trends in the field today.

The plenaries and most of the group sessions had no such geographical boundaries. Instead, they dealt with ‘current issues’ in contemporary cultural research. Besides the final plenary summing up the region spotlights and pointing towards the future, we identified four such key topics. ‘Cosmopolitan issues’ dealt with place, community, glocalisation and citizenship. ‘Cosmic issues’ scrutinised the increasingly precarious interplay between nature and culture. ‘Chronotopic issues’ focused on remembering, narrating and history. ‘Convergence issues’ combined materiality and representation in intermedial crossroads.

ACSIS is since 2002 a national centre for interdisciplinary cultural research ([www.acsis.liu.se](http://www.acsis.liu.se)). This is thus ACSIS’s tenth year of activity, and next spring we can celebrate the happy ending of our first decade. Our vision and goal was to establish a networking hub that would strengthen cultural research by crossing both geographical and topical borders, linking national universities with international currents and disciplinary with transdisciplinary discourses. We have managed to arrange this great series of successful conferences, a national mailing-list network, a stream of individual exchanges and visits, and installing a very important coordinating body in the form of our national board with one representative from each Swedish university, chaired by Professor Dan Brändström.

Activities also since 2009 include an open access academic journal *Culture Unbound: Journal of Current Cultural Research*, published by Linköping University Electronic Press ([www.cultureunbound.ep.liu.se](http://www.cultureunbound.ep.liu.se)). This has become a praised resource, supplementing established print forums such as the *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, together offering useful publishing opportunities for European scholarship. We have also engaged in ongoing debates, for instance by publishing an anthology on Swedish cultural studies and an issue of the journal *Kulturella Perspektiv*, devoted to the tricky question of how to evaluate the usefulness of cultural research, which was also the focus of a conference in 2008.

It is not easy for critical and interdisciplinary cultural research to gain acceptance and find space for expanding in these times of highly problematic changes in basic conditions for education in so many countries. How to balance justifiable demands for societal usefulness with equally crucial requirements of relative autonomy and critical creativity remains a difficult task. Under shifting labels, cultural studies has emerged as an increasingly open and inclusive alternative for humanities and social science scholarship, with a capacity to bridge basic critical research with imaginative interchange with non-academic spheres of society and a clear awareness of the urgent issues of today. In the various sessions of this conference, one could trace some of the most disputed frontlines of today's cultural research in various parts of Europe, showing how both the drawing of boundaries and the transgression of them are mutually implicated in our intellectual practices.

The conference was generously supported by the Bank of Sweden Tercentenary Foundation (Riksbankens Jubileumsfond), the WennerGren Foundations, Linköping University's Faculty of Arts and Sciences and the City of Norrköping. Johanna Dahlin was conference organiser, assisted by Martin Fredriksson, and Svante Landgraf edited the program book. The ACSIS board and some European board members of the ACS supported at various moments of the process in which we initiated this event and laid down its key parameters. The invited plenary moderators and voluntary session organisers filled our basic ideas with fascinating contents in terms of the topics and speakers now presented in the programme, with more than 50 individual sessions. A set of local young scholars generously assisted with technicalities in all sessions.

In all, some 230 cultural studies scholars gathered in Norrköping for this event. The gender balance was even (54% women), and participants came from (at least) 27 countries, roughly half (51%) from Sweden and 42% from the rest of Europe. 8% (19 individuals) came from the UK, 6% (14) from the Netherlands, 4% each from Denmark (9) and Turkey (8), 3% (7) from the USA, and participants also from Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Canada, Croatia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Japan, Mexico, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovenia, Spain and Switzerland. From Sweden, some 20 universities were present, among them Stockholm (11%), Linköping (10%), Lund (5%) and Södertörn (4%). As for institutional belonging, the many disciplines and interdisciplinary fields represented are even more difficult to categorise. In a falling scale, participants were affiliated with cultural studies, media studies, history, ethnology, literature, sociology, music, art, gender, education studies, geography, political science, anthropology, STS, ethnic studies, philosophy, archaeology, architecture, language, economy and religion.

The Council of Europe and the European Union have together established five official symbols of Europe: a flag, an anthem, a day, a motto and a currency. (For a critical and interpretive study of these and a wealth of other identifying European symbols, see Johan Fornäs: *Signifying Europe*, Bristol: Intellect Press 2011.) These may well all be challenged and were also contested by scholars at this conference, who chose to define Europeanness in alternative or oppositional ways. However, it seems to me that at least the motto is relevant for us today too, as we are also 'united in diversity': finding these kinds of gatherings useful and inspiring not in spite of our mutual differences but precisely because of them. The field of cultural

studies really derives its attraction by its ability to make us meet so many others who look differently on the burning issues we are facing today.

These conference proceedings offer a glimpse of the polyphony orchestrated by the 2011 'Current Issues in European Cultural Studies' conference. More echoes will be heard in future monographs, anthologies, journal articles and theme issues – and new research initiatives.